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Abstract—Constructing portfolios with high returns and low risks is always in great demand. Markowitz (1952) utilized correlation coefficients between pairs of stocks to build portfolios satisfying different levels of risk tolerance. The correlation coefficient describes the linear dependence structure between two stocks, but cannot capture a lot of nonlinear independence structures. Therefore, sometimes, portfolio performances are not up to investors' expectations. In this paper, based on the theory of copula by Sklar (see [19]), we investigate several new methods to detect nonlinear dependence structures. These new methods allow us to estimate the density of the portfolio which leads to calculations of some popular risk measurements like the value at risk (VaR) of investment portfolios. As for applications, making use of the listed stocks on the Ho Chi Minh city Stock Exchange (HoSE), some Markowitz optimal portfolios are constructed together with their risk measurements. Apparently, with nonlinear dependence structures, the risk evaluations of some pairs of stocks have noticeable twists. This, in turn, may lead to changes of decisions from investors.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Since the birth of stock exchanges, investors have been constantly seeking out optimal portfolios. One of the key characteristics of a good portfolio is a reasonably low risk. All sort of techniques, from conventional wisdoms like "not putting all eggs into one baskets" to highly computational tools like neural network, have been tried to address this issue. Poor descriptions of dependence structures between pairs of stocks or among multiple stocks accounts for unreliable risk estimations of a majority of methods, hence, leads to unsatisfactory portfolios. Markowitz (1952) was probably the first person who incorporated dependences into portfolio designs. However, his work deals with linear dependences only while, in reality, most relations between stocks are nonlinear. In this paper, based on the concept of copula by Sklar (1959), dependence structures of certain pairs of stocks from the Ho Chi Minh Stock Exchange (HoSE) from July 2000 to July 2017 are described, and hence, empirical distributions of portfolios are obtained. That enables us to compute values at risk of various pairs of stocks with nonlinear dependences. For comparison purpose, the traditional values at risk with linear correlations are also included. The VaRs of portfolios from the stocks listed on HoSE show significant differences between the linear-dependence version and the nonlinear-dependence one which indicates the existence of crucial nonlinear structures.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

In 1994, the concept of Value at Risk, VaR, was introduced with drums and cymbals to answer the question at what value of the investment the risk is equal to a desired percentage point (Szegö, 2002). The aggregate VaR is computed using linear correlation, which means relying on the assumption of multivariate normality of returns (Cherubini and Luciano, 2001). Recall that VaR is the α-quantile of the distribution

$$\text{VaR}_\alpha (S) = F_S^{-1} (\alpha) = \inf \{ s \in \mathbb{R} : F_S(s) \geq \alpha \}$$

where $F_S$ is the distribution of $S$. Typical values of the level $\alpha$ are 0.9, 0.95, or 0.99.

Since then, VaR has become a standard measure
of risk in financial markets. Besides, it is being used increasingly by other financial and even nonfinancial firms (Berkowitz and O’Brien, 2002). Although the VaR concept is very simple, its calculation is not easy (Jorion, 2006).

To calculate VaR, Arzac and Bawa (1977), Mittnik and Paolella (2000) made an assumption that the return of interest is normally distributed, however, this assumption was challenged in some later work. A lot of research has been done on alternative methods. For instance, Jorion (2006) suggested new methodologies to calculate a portfolio VaR are: (i) the variance–covariance approach, also called the parametric method, (ii) the Historical Simulation (Non-parametric method) and (iii) the Monte Carlo simulation, which is a Semiparametric method. In practice, on studying VaR for traders with both long and short positions, Giot and Laurent (2003) found that the returns should not be modeled by either the normal or student t distributions. That leads to the needs to measure portfolio risks where the return distributions are non-normal, see (Artzner et al., 1997, 1999; Favre and Galeano, 2002).

In another line of research, Christoffersen and Pelletier (2004) realized that existing backtesting methods have relatively low power in realistic small sample settings. They explored some new tools to backtest based on the duration of days between the violations of the VaR via Monte Carlo simulation.

On the other hand, Reboredo (2013), using gold as a hedge against inflation, succeeded in reducing portfolio risk via modeling the dependence structure between gold and the USD through copula functions. In the same vein, Markowitz (1979) confirmed that the normality assumption are totally inadequate when applied to distributions of financial return or to distributions of quadratic utility functions in modern portfolio theory. These results strongly support earlier work by Levy and Markowitz (1979); in short, the study had two principal objectives: (1) to see how good mean–variance approximations are for various utility functions and portfolio return distributions; and (2) to test an alternate way of estimating expected utility from a distribution’s mean and variance.

Risk analysis, applying copula theory has also been dealt with in the financial literature. Bob (2013) estimated VaR for a portfolio combining copula functions. Siburg et al (2015) proposed to forecast the VaR of bivariate portfolios using copula which are calibrated to estimates of the coefficient of lower tail dependence.

There were much research centered on the application of a concept in the study of multivariate distributions, the copula, to the investigation of dependent tail events. (Hien et al, 2017).

3 COPULA

Dependence structures and measurements of dependence structures are two mainstreams in correlational study. One of the most notable work is a novel measure of monotonicity dependence by Schweizer and Wolf (1981) with the use of the $L'$ - metric $d_{L^p}(C,P)$ where C is any copula and P is the product copula. Furthermore, Stoimenov constructed another measure $\omega(C)$ to capture mutual complete dependences (MCD) using a Sobolev metric $d_{s}(C,P)$. Lately, Hien et al (2017) has devised a new dependence measure which can detect independence, comonotonicity, countermonotonicity relations between random variables. In another line of research, Hien et al (2015) invented a nonparametric dependence measure for two continuous random variables X and Y with a copula as follows:

$$\lambda(C) = \| C \|_s - 2 \| C - M \|_s^2$$

where $\| C \|_s$ is the adjusted Sobolev norm for copula C. In detail, the norm is defined as follows:

$$\| C \|_s = \left( \int_{0,1} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 C(u,v)}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2 C(u,v)}{\partial v^2} \right] du dv \right)^{1/2}$$

The authors also provide two practical algorithms to compute $\lambda(C)$ in applications. The first one is used when we have a close-form formula for the copula C, and the second one is for empirical copula C estimated from data.

The recent literature provides strong and rich evidence of return correlations among stocks and between stocks and bonds (Kim et al., 2006). According to Righi et al. (2015), the bivariate copula framework offers more flexibility than the traditional methodologies like the correlation, VaR. In this work, we utilize some of the most popular families of copulas to model dependencies between pairs of stock returns listed on HoSE. Based on these calculations, we evaluate risks of Markowitz optimal portfolios. In the process, we
also explore some new copulas constructed by applying the Wang distortion functions to classical copulas. The values at risk based on linear correlations and the values at risk based on nonlinear correlations show clear differences. That proves the necessity of nonlinear dependence structure on designing portfolios.

4 METHODOLOGY

In order to compare the portfolio-risk evaluations between the classical method based on linear correlation and our new method based on copulas, we first select weights for stocks to form optimal portfolios using the classical work of Markowitz. Then, we proceed by calculating the classical VaR and estimating the empirical copulas to build the empirical density function for our portfolios, hence obtaining VaR for the nonlinear dependence. Below are the concrete steps:

**Step 1:** Calculate the log returns of stocks by the formula:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}} \right)
\]

**Step 2:** Calculate linear (Pearson) correlation coefficient between each pair of stock returns by the formula:

\[
s(X,Y) = \frac{\text{Cov}[X,Y]}{(s_x^2 s_y^2)^{1/2}}
\]

Where: \( \text{Cov}[X,Y] \) is the sample covariance of 2 random variables X and Y.

and \( s_x \) and \( s_y \) are the standard deviations of the corresponding stocks X and Y, respectively.

**Step 3:** Select pairs of stocks with the biggest correlation coefficient to construct some portfolios and estimate the empirical density functions of those portfolios.

**Step 4:** Calculating Values at Risks of the portfolios in both the classical and the copula ways.

5 DATA

Daily adjusted closing prices of listed companies on HoSE from the first day of trading up to 8/8/2017 are used in our research. However, in order to stabilize the time series, we eliminated all "debut" stocks which were listed after 01/01/2016. Thus, there are 313 stocks considered in our study.

6 EMPIRICAL STUDIES

In this part, we illustrate the differences of density functions of portfolios when using linear correlation (\( \rho \)) versus copula (\( \square \)). After calculating linear correlations for all possible pairs in our list of 313 stocks, we select two pairs with highest absolute correlations to form portfolios; in particular, one pair has highest positive and one has highest negative correlations.

Then, we compare the empirical distribution with the classical linear correlation and the empirical distribution with copula. Finally, remarkable differences in risk measures via VaRs of the two methods are highlighted.

6.1 Types of Graphics

Table 1 below show the \( \rho \) and \( \square \) value of pairs of stocks which most positive and negative correlation \( s(X,Y) \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair of stocks</th>
<th>Linear correlation coefficient</th>
<th>Copula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSI (Sai Gon Securities Incorporation) vs HCM (Ho Chi Minh City Securities Corporation)</td>
<td>0.80035</td>
<td>0.690189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACL (Cuu Long Fish Joint Stock Company) vs STK (Century Synthetic Fiber Corporation)</td>
<td>-0.12196</td>
<td>0.028588</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Research result

SSI and HCM are in the same industry and both are big companies in Vietnam. That is the reason why their returns have the same systematic behaviors with respect to the stock market. So, a strong relationship between SSI and HCM comes at no surprise, and this relationship can easily be detected by various means. Meanwhile, ACL and STK belong to two different industries which partially explain why their periodicities and characteristics differ. These, in turn, lead to a much more complex relationship between them. In particular, their returns are negatively associated in the stock exchange.

In the second row, the linear correlation shows a weak negative association between ACL and STK while the copula shows a weak relationship in the opposite direction. This difference definitely affects the portfolio constructions greatly since it changes all the portfolio risk calculations, and hence alters the expected returns and so on. To illustrate the point, we form the portfolios for the two pairs using the Markowitz's method and perform the risk calculations in both the correlation and copula ways.

Table 2 below shows the descriptive statistic of
daily returns of 4 stocks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2</th>
<th>DESCRIPTIVE STATISTIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>2053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>-0.0695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartile 3</td>
<td>0.0138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>0.0700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>0.0248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>0.1784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>0.1590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To better understand the distributions of the returns of four stocks, we plot the four density functions in Figure 1 below.

6.2 Values at risk

Below are the stock weights for two portfolios determined by the classic theory of Markowitz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 3</th>
<th>STOCK WEIGHTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Portfolio 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock</td>
<td>HCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that in Portfolio 1, HCM and SSI are positively correlated and the weight for HCM is much lower than that of SSI because HCM suffers from much higher volatility. However, in Portfolio 2, although ACL has 47.1% lower volatility than STK, only 29% are allocated to ACL. That means volatility is of paramount importance when the relationship between stocks are positive, but is of little importance when the relationship is negative.

The following charts show the distributions of Portfolio 1 and Portfolio 2 in two scenarios: the left-hand side is the density plots for the portfolios using normal joint densities (with correlation r), and the right-hand side is the plots for the portfolios using empirical densities with copula.

Note that the empirical distributions of the four returns don't seem to follow normal distributions. Also observe that there is a strong positive correlation between 2 stocks in the financial sector, but there is a negative correlation between ACL (Food Industry) and STK (Textile Industry) in two different industries.
There are noticeable dissimilarities between the density plots. That explains the differences in the VaR results when the classical normal distributions are used and when the copula-based distributions are used. The detailed calculations for the two portfolios are presented in the Table 4 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 4</th>
<th>VAR FOR PORTFOLIO 1 AND PORTFOLIO 2 WITH 3 LEVELS OF CONFIDENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Portfolio 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence level</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear correlation (1)</td>
<td>0.0166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copula (2)</td>
<td>0.0227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different (1) vs (2)</td>
<td>-26.95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Research result

The table 4 presents the values at risk for Portfolio 1 and Portfolio 2 with 3 levels of confidence, namely 90%, 95% and 99%. Each column contains the VaRs computed from linear dependence (correlation) and nonlinear dependence (copula) together with a measurement for the relative differences between the two VaRs. To be precise, the last row of the table is calculated using the following formula.

\[
\text{Different (1) vs (2)} = \frac{\text{VaR(correlation)} - \text{VaR(copula)}}{\text{VaR(copula)}}
\]

Recall that, in Portfolio 1, both components belong to the same industry and are positively associated. The volatilities of the two stocks have tremendous impacts on the allocation of weights in the portfolio, and this leads to a fairly large relative difference between the two versions of VaRs. Therefore, investors should take extra precautions when using VaR for risk management in this case since there are dependences other than the linear one lurking around. For Portfolio 2, the situation is better, the relative differences are smaller since the two stocks are negatively associated so their volatilities tend to cancel each other out. To sum up, in reality, there are always stocks with positive associations in a portfolio. Thus, finding a suitable measures of dependency is fundamentally important for risk management.

7 CONCLUSION

Value at Risk plays a crucial role in financial risk management, especially in portfolio management. In practice, its computations usually relies on the normality assumption of the portfolio distributions with linear dependences between pairs of assets. This, in turn, is used to calculate the optimal weights for the stock components of portfolios. Nevertheless, a number of experts have
pointed out that the linear dependence structures are no longer adequate in modern financial market. Therefore, adoption of the new techniques is inevitable to avoid unwanted consequences later. In this research, we analyse the dependence structure between financial assets and additionally compute the VaR, which is of considerable importance in risk management.

Since the pioneering work of Sklar (1959), although having been extensively applied in a large number of applications in business and finance, copulas still have huge potentials for making significant impacts in various problems, especially in risk management. Considerable advancements in computing powers allow copulas to become practical in areas where a deep understanding of dependence structures is crucial, but used to be intractable in the past due to high computational complexity. Our work here provides examples where classical approaches give very different results compared to those obtained via copula. In particular, if two stocks are strongly positively associated, the VaRs of the two methods differ as high as 26.95%.

Our plan in the near future is to design portfolios of more than two stock components. It requires pulling in multivariate copulas to describe higher dimensional dependence structures. One of the challenges is how to implement these with real market data. Some important families like the Clayton canonical vine copulas (CVC) which capture lower tail dependence are feasible up to dimension 12. Another direction of research is to bring in other way to model dependence such as the probabilistic graphical model which has been extremely successful in computer sciences and engineering.
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Tóm tắt—Thiết kế các danh mục đầu tư có lợi nhuận cao và rủi ro thấp luôn là đối tượng của các nhà nghiên cứu. Markowitz (1952) sử dụng các hệ số tương quan giữa các cặp cổ phiếu để xây dựng các danh mục thỏa mãn các mức rủi ro có thể chấp nhận được. Hệ số tương quan mô tả cấu trúc phụ thuộc tuyến tính giữa hai cổ phiếu nhưng không thể tích hợp được các cấu trúc độc lập phi tuyến tính. Vì vậy, hiểu quả của danh mục đầu tư đối với những rủi ro không đáp ứng được kỹ vọng của nhà đầu tư. Trong bài viết này, dựa trên lý thuyết copula của Sklar (xem [19]), chúng tôi kiểm tra một số phương pháp mới để xác định các cấu trúc phụ thuộc phi tuyến tính. Những phương pháp mới này giúp chúng tôi ước lượng được phân bố của các danh mục, từ đó cho phép áp dụng các phương pháp ước lượng rủi ro phổ biến của các danh mục đầu tư như VaR. Chúng tôi áp dụng phương pháp này đối với các cổ phiếu niêm yết trên Sàn Giao dịch Cổ phiếu TP.HCM (HoSE), xây dựng một số danh mục tối ưu theo phương pháp của Markowitz cùng với các phương pháp ước tính rủi ro. Kết quả cho thấy, với các cấu trúc phụ thuộc phi tuyến tính, ước tính rủi ro của một số cặp cổ phiếu có những tác động đáng chú ý đến danh mục đầu tư. Kết quả này dẫn đến thay đổi các quyết định của nhà đầu tư.

Từ khóa—Thiết kế danh mục đầu tư, khoa học dữ liệu, cấu trúc phụ thuộc, copula, rủi ro, cổ phiếu, lợi nhuận, phương pháp.